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What?

Yet another application layer DOS attack that strives for resource starvation through asymmetric resource utilization.

● Method
● Tool
● Stats
● Defence
● Usage possibilities
Why?
DOS Classification

- Crash, non-resource attack, degrading IT capabilities
- Resource consumption attack
  - Network resource exhaustion
  - Infrastructure device resource exhaustion
  - Target resource exhaustion
    - OS or network layer (e.g. SYN flood)
    - Application layer
    - Business logic “layer”

From DoS Attack Taxonomy [1]
Classic Application Layer DOS/DDOS

DDOSing blindly
- GET index.html
- 10000 x of the GET
- No feedback
- Near-Symmetrical load

Smarter Bots
- SlowLoris
- SlowHttpptest
- SlowRead
- PKI abuse
- SQL wildcards
- WebSockets connection hogging
Some Exotic L7 DOS

- Using ‘%’ in the request may cause the DB to fetch every row in the DB (use genetic algorithm to figure out a payload that makes the server to work the hardest?)

- Business logic - “above L7 attacks”
  - Too many items in the cart
  - Too much logging caused by invalid inputs
  - Too many temporary objects in memory (attachments for webmail)
Get Flooding With Spice

- Is not exotic
- It ain’t Slow*
- Not going for exhaustion of 20k HTTP connections
- Resource consumption is asymmetrical by nature, just trying to get bigger divide
- Just a Get flood, with some analysis done before flooding takes place
The Proposed Method

Method of detection of the critical resource

- Spider over the web site and collect transfer times for each resource
- Calculate the average speed and distribution of transfers
- Identify the resources that have slower average transfer times

Transfer time's correlation with load

- CPU intensive resources take more time to response
- Resource size is not significant
Lies, Dirty Lies and Statistics
Using Statistics to Normalize the Data

● Mean as the measure of central tendency
  ○ Calculate the mean of all resource download speeds
  ○ Calculate the means of each resource download speeds
  ○ Select the resources whose download speeds are less (slower) than the mean of all download speeds

● Selecting resources with lower mean

● Discarding resources with large variance
Speed Distribution
Demo
Attack Like Stage of Testing

Measurement of service degradation while doing a hard test for narrowing down the choice of links

```
$ ./crwlr --url http://10.12.0.3/Concrete5/Concrete5-6.0/ --verbose 1 --depth 3 --count 10 --xml concrete.xml
$

crwlr --count 100 --in concrete.xml

crwlr --count 100 --in concrete.xml

crwlr --count 100 --in concrete.xml
...

http://10.12.0.3/Concrete5/Concrete5-6.0/index.php/blog/

original mean/sdev: 23.039/3.531 stress mean/sdev: 28.058/6.272
original mean/sdev: 23.039/32.531 stress mean/sdev: 27.568/6.039
original mean/sdev: 23.039/3.531 stress mean/sdev: 27.389/5.927
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original mean/sDev</th>
<th>Stressed mean/sDev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banit_0</td>
<td>23.039/3.531</td>
<td>28.058/6.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banit_1</td>
<td>23.039/3.531</td>
<td>27.568/6.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banit_2</td>
<td>23.039/3.531</td>
<td>27.389/5.927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Similar Tools

DoSHTTP
- No statistical analysis

JMeter
- Performance measurement
- Extendible

Tsung
- Erlang based many upto 1M user simulation

Pylot
- Very close, some statistical analysis
- Not a crawler
- No parallel testing, load measurement
The Art of (D)DOS Defence

“Hard it is, but try we can for DOS at least”

- Load Balancing
- Identify/Fix resource hogs
  - Use our tool for this
- Apache config suggestions
- Other Apache modules
- Advanced mod_security protection

“Fail those will if used is force”
Load Balancers

Stopping Get Floods using:
- Rate-limiters
- Unusual traffic filters
- Source checks

Possible issues
- No real sense of load on the targets
- Internal IP leakage
- If protections are sensed the attacks could be crafted to perform just under the threshold
- If the attack detection is based on similarity of requests mutation could fool it
**HAProxy**

- Divides the load between the back-end servers
- Different policies for static and dynamic resources
- Can set some thresholds\[^2\]

```plaintext
...
  tcp-request content reject if { src_get_gpc0 gt 0 }
  http-request deny if { src_get_gpc0 gt 0 }
...
  use_backend bk_web_static if { path_end .jpg .png .gif .css .js }
...
  acl abuse src_http_req_rate(ft_web) ge 10
  acl flag_abuser src_inc_gpc0(ft_web)
  http-request deny if abuse flag_abuser
```
Commercial Protection Services

- Few players using limiters for:
  - Resource rate
  - Connection
  - Originating IP
- Some Slow* defences
- mod_security like measures against SQLi and XSS
- Good cloud based solutions cost >$150/m
- “would not use the full-blown solution because don’t want to degrade the user experience”
- Those could fail as described in Universal-DDOS-Mitigation_Bypass[3]
Using the Tool for Good

● Identify/Fix resource hogs
  ○ Use our tool for this
  ○ Manual(intelligent) tweaking of the request to get possible higher stress
  ○ Confirm the high resource usage by stressing the “finds” with parallel requests and measuring the degradation

● In ideal world the tool would generate conf files for DOS protection modules
Playing with Apache Configs

Baseline, no protection

- 1 client running 10x parallel requests of the most expensive resource
- 3% CPU on the client machine
- Server: i7, 4 core, 8 gb
- **98% CPU** utilization on the server

Standard config measures?
Nothing that would really help Get Floods, but there are some setting that would help with Slow* attacks[4]
mod_security

● Simple mod_security protection [5]
  ○ Requests per IP limit, blocking the violators
  ○ Effective but too strict
  ○ Blocks the offensive IP right away.
  ○ CPU usage goes down to 0%

```plaintext
SecRule ip:requests "@eq 50" "phase:1,pass,nolog,setvar:ip.block=1,
expirevar:ip.block=5,setvar:ip.blocks=+1,expirevar:ip.blocks=3600"
```

● Advanced mod_security protection
  ○ Identification of regular flows
  ○ Out of ordinary flow filtering
  ○ State coherence checks
  ○ Still only a theory
mod_limitipconn

Limits the number of simultaneous downloads permitted from a single IP address [6]

“This module is not designed to prevent denial-of-service attacks.” -README

MaxConnPerIP 3

Cons:
- A bit crude
- Need to identify the (arbitrary) limit

Pros:
- Limits CPU to 38% CPU
mod_qos

Implements control mechanisms to provide different priority to requests and control server access based on available resources [7]

```
QS_SrvMaxConnPerIP 50
```

Works

- Limits CPU to 38% CPU
- “QS_SrvMinDataRate” will help to fight slow* attacks
mod_bwshare

Accepts or rejects HTTP requests from each client IP address, based on thresholds set by past traffic from a particular IP address[8]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW_tx1debt_max</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW_tx1cred_rate</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW_tx2debt_max</td>
<td>3000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW_tx2cred_rate</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Tricky with setting the limits
- Sophisticated way of setting a limit
mod_throttle

Is intended to reduce the load on your server, and the data transfer generated by popular virtual hosts, directories, locations, or users.

Discontinued...

The rules:

N/A

The effect:

N/A
mod_evasive

Provide evasive action in the event of an HTTP DOS /DDoS or brute force attack. [9]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOSPageCount</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOSSiteCount</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOSBlockingPeriod</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Once detect all the connections from an attacker are dropped
- This really works.
- Our favorite for now
Conflicts with Slow* Attack Protection

- Slow* attack mitigation is an addition
- mod_evasive could not protect from these
- There is no conflict (good news)

We suggest using these apache directives for Slow* attack mitigation:

- RequestReadTimeout
- KeepAliveTimeout
- KeepAlive
- MaxRequestWorkers
mod_httpbl

Not exactly for protecting the server from a DOS attack but is cool as it is leveraging the "Project Honey pot"

- HoneyPot collects a list of offenders
- List of offenders gets blacklisted

httpbl.sourceforge.net
Usage

of HTTP Time Bandit
The Good

Find potential CPU/DB hogs in my web apps
The Bad

Automated iterative analyzer attacker
The Ugly

Probably should not be spelled out:)

Imagine “The Bad” x 1000
Back to the Future

- Understanding Load Balancers
- SQL wildcard usage
- State Reset cost analysis
- Automated Attacker, service degradation measurement
Thank You
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https://github.com/Qualys/timeBandit
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